

EUROPEAN OPERATIONS COURSE

CRITIQUE

Your comments regarding the course you have just completed would be most helpful as a basis for evaluating the present program and for improvement or modification of future European Operations courses.

1. Do you feel that this course achieved its stated objectives? If not, please specify in what ways you believe the course fell short of its objectives. (Please refer to the schedule for a precise statement of the course objectives.)

- a. No X.
- b. Yes, to a slight degree _____.
- c. Yes, to a moderate degree _____.
- d. Yes, to a considerable degree _____.
- e. Yes, to an exceptional degree _____.

Comments:

The form part of this critique is limiting to the first two weeks of the course. I have commented on the 10 week on the back of the last page.


2. Of what value to you consider this course to you in your present or next assignment?

- a. Slight X.
- b. Moderate _____.
- c. Considerable _____.
- d. Extraordinary _____.

3. Please note the presentation(s) which you found of greatest value and which you found of least value. List as many as desired.

a. Of greatest value:

b. Of least value:



4. How interesting have you found the course overall?

- a. Of slight interest X.
- b. Of moderate interest _____.
- c. Of great interest _____.

Very useful

Please answer the following questions as fully as possible, since one-word or extremely brief replies provide little basis upon which to judge the merits or weaknesses of the course.

5. Given the course's stated objectives, do you consider the course sufficiently comprehensive? Are there any subjects of European operational concern, which you feel should have been included in the course, but were not? Conversely, are there any subjects which you consider extraneous and feel should be dropped?

Generally, I feel the first two weeks of the course suffered from a lack of relevancy, too low a level of sophistication and a good deal of wasted time.

6. Do you feel there was excessive duplication or overlap of material presented under the various subject headings within the course?

No

7. Did you find that the interrelationship of the various topics was adequately demonstrated in this course?

I don't think it should be necessary to dwell on "interrelationships" of the various topics that most of us have been living with for over 15 years

(Use reverse if necessary)

8. Do you think there was a proper balance between lecture, discussion, and reading period? If not, please specify recommendations for improvement.

No thoughts except I learned far more from discussions with fellow students than from the material presented

9. Do you think the reading materials were adequate?

No

10. If this course were optional, would you recommend it to others?

No

11. Do you have any other comments, criticism or recommendations?

I would prefer to submit further criticism and recommendation in memorandum form at Hqs.

(Use reverse if necessary)

OC RAD 101

General: - High level of presentation & instruction

- Useful Review of equipment available
- Practical problems particularly effective.
- Instructors and briefers were impressive, helpful and very well prepared
- all-in-all: Well worthwhile (including TSD presentation)

Suggestions: RAD morning was great!

25X1A14A

- " para military commo is not relevant to European ops.
- Compress course in time but make it more intensive - less "breaks", more night work, more comprehensive problems

25X1C1A2

100 Luster